**IMPORTANCE OF PERIODIC REVIEWS**

Periodic reviews are sessions in which a resident and their assigned faculty adviser and/or site director discuss the resident's progress and additional opportunities for learning in family medicine.

- Document progressive achievement of competence
- Provide a low-stakes assessment approach to track progress
- Offer a time to identify areas of improvement and opportunities for growth
- Establish a formal process that encourages self-reflection and addresses learning goals

**WHAT ARE THE EXPECTATIONS FOR A PERIODIC REVIEW?**

**RESIDENT’S ROLE**

- Understand that the periodic review is different than a summative final evaluation
- Use the review to identify progressive achievement of competency in family medicine
- Focus on self-reflection during the periodic review
- Help design and update your individualized learning plan to address areas in which you feel you are lacking competence
- Understand that participating in a series of periodic reviews as part of your residency is not about getting check marks of completion; it requires an ongoing process of reflection and getting advice from colleagues so you are better able to assess your own competence and address your learning needs

**FACULTY ADVISER’S ROLE**

- Try not to judge; act as a coach* and understand that the resident is practising the skill of self-reflection to assess ongoing learning needs
- Have a sense of an average trajectory of resident progression when providing feedback
- Ask the resident about their own thoughts before providing feedback
- Consider using a structured feedback model
- Think of a cat-and-lion analogy to understand your role as coach: When a cat looks in the mirror and sees a lion, the coach is there to provide guidance and gentle correction; when a lion looks in the mirror and sees a cat, the coach is there to provide support and evidence of strength

*The Competency Coach (also termed “adviser” in some programs) facilitates the planning and career development of the learner.
THE PERIODIC REVIEW ROAD MAP

BEFORE EACH MEETING:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Set aside 20 to 45 minutes for the periodic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Schedule the review to take place in a quiet, private space (e.g., an office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Review field notes, rotation evaluations, and other forms of feedback and complete any preparatory work beforehand; your faculty adviser should do the same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Take a positive approach and don’t view it as pass or fail; the review is meant to be self-reflective and to help guide you toward expected levels of competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Be prepared to lead the review—plan to provide your thoughts on progress first before the adviser shares input and feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DURING EACH MEETING:

**STEP 1**

**Review field notes, feedback, and progress toward competence in the following areas:**

- Review exposures to Domains of Care: children, adolescent, adult, elderly, and palliative care (as a minimum)
- Review the seven CanMEDS-FM roles (Health Expert, Communicator, Collaborator, Health Advocate, Manager, Scholar, and Professional)
- Review the CFPC’s evaluation objectives (patient-centred care, communication skills with patients and colleagues, professionalism, clinical reasoning skills, selectivity, and priority topics and procedural skills)

**Key questions:** What is your level of competence across these competencies and Clinical Domains? Have you had enough learning experiences to gain competence and confidence? Do you need to have more targeted learning opportunities?

**STEP 2**

**Focus on the following four areas:**

- Areas in which you’re doing well
- Areas to improve
- Areas requiring additional learning experiences
- Areas in which you have had sufficient learning opportunities

**Key question:** Why do you feel this way?

**STEP 3**

**After you have provided your comments, receive feedback from your faculty adviser:**

- Ask whether they agree, disagree, or have any comments to add
- Ask for concrete examples to help you understand the feedback provided

**Key question:** Do the field notes and faculty adviser agree with your interpretation?

**STEP 4**

**Focus on non-academic aspects:**

- Career planning: What types of family medicine practice choices are emerging for you? What might you need to prepare?
- Health and well-being check-in: Consider discussing harassment, safety concerns, any need for confidential advisers/outside services, whether you have a family doctor, and your personal physical health and mental well-being

**Note:** This was valued as very important by all Section of Residents members

**STEP 5**

**Wrap up the meeting with a self-reflective learning plan:**

- Look at specific deficits and strengths and develop a plan with your adviser that covers the time until your next periodic review
- Use SMART goals (specific, measureable, attainable, realistic, and timely)
- Plan to revisit your learning goals at the next review

**Key questions:** What are you and your adviser going to do to address the areas in which you feel you need to improve? Does the faculty adviser have suggestions for improvement? Come to a mutually agreed-upon plan.
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